

Supplementary Information:

**Clayff Force Field Versus TIP3P Water Model in
Molecular Simulations: Validation for Montmorillonite
Clay Model**

Información suplementaria:

**Campo de Fuerzas Clayff Versus Modelo de Agua TIP3P
en Simulaciones Moleculares: Validación para el Modelo
de Arcilla Montmorillonita**

A. Lam^{a)} & G. Rojas-Lorenzo^{b)}

^{a)} Zeolite Engineering Laboratory, Institute of Material Science and Technology (IMRE), University of Havana, Havana, CP. 10400, Cuba

^{b)} Instituto Superior de Tecnologías y Ciencias Aplicadas, Universidad de La Habana, Ave. Salvador Allende y Luaces, Quinta de Los Molinos, Plaza, La Habana 10600, Cuba

PACS: Molecular dynamics calculations (cálculos de dinámica molecular), 31.15.xv; Solvent effects (efectos solventes), 31.70.Dk; Potential energy surfaces (superficies de energía potencial), 31.50.Bc.

Citation: A. Lam, and G. Rojas-Lorenzo, Rev. Cubana Fis. **39**, 85 (2022)

<https://www.revistacubanadefisica.org/index.php/rcf/article/view/2022v39p085>

Supporting information

Lennard-Jones (L-J) potential was used to represent the van der Waals term in the total energy of the system.

$$E_{LJ} = \sum_{i \neq j} 4 \epsilon_{ij} \left[\left(\frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r_{ij}} \right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r_{ij}} \right)^6 \right]$$

This potential combines the short-range repulsion associated with atom-atom overlap and the short-range attraction associated with electron dispersion and it is calculated in CLAYFF and AMBER force fields by the following expression:

$$E_{VDW} = \sum_{i \neq j} \epsilon_{ij}^* \left[\left(\frac{\sigma_{ij}^*}{r_{ij}} \right)^{12} - 2 \left(\frac{\sigma_{ij}^*}{r_{ij}} \right)^6 \right]$$

while it is a little modified in CHARMM force fields as

$$E_{VDW} = \sum_{i \neq j} \epsilon_{ij}^* \left[\left(\frac{\sigma_{ij}^*}{r_{ij}} \right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma_{ij}^*}{r_{ij}} \right)^6 \right]$$

The ϵ_{ij}^* and σ_{ij}^* can be determined using standard arithmetic or geometry (Lorentz-Berthelot) combining rules. In CLAYFF, CHARMM and AMBER force fields, is d ϵ_{ij}^* etermined using standard geometric combination rule and u σ_{ij}^* sing standard arithmetic combination rule:

$$\epsilon_{ij}^* = (\epsilon_i^* \epsilon_j^*)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{ij}^* = \frac{\sigma_i^* + \sigma_j^*}{2} \quad \text{for all these used force fields.}$$

Table SI1. Charges and atomic parameters for van der Waals interactions.

$$\epsilon_{ij}^* = \epsilon_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{ij}^* = 2^{1/6} \sigma_{ij}.$$

	symbol	charge (e)	ϵ_{0i} (kcal/mol)	σ_i (Å)
Clay	Si ^a	2.1000	1.8405×10^{-6}	3.3020
	Mg ^a	1.36	9.0298×10^{-7}	5.2643
	Li ^a	0.525	9.0298×10^{-7}	4.2101
	Li ^b	1.0000	0.6700	2.3370
	F ^b	-0.8109	0.1802	3.1170
	O ^a	-1.0500		
	Oo ^a	-1.1808	0.1554	3.1655
	Oh ^c	-0.95		
water SPC	H ^c	0.425		
	HW ^d	0.4100		
	OW ^d	-0.8200	0.1553	3.5533
water TIP3P	HW ^e	0.417		

	OW ^e	-0.834	0.1521	3.53650
Oh-H Morse Potential ^c		$U(r) = E_0[\{1 - \exp(-k(r_{ij} - r_0))\}^2 - 1]$		
	E ₀ (kcal/mol)	k (Å ⁻¹)	r ₀ (Å)	
	132.2491	2.1350	0.9450	

^a R. T. Cygan, J.-J. Liang, and A. G. Kalinichev, J. Phys. Chem. B **108**, 1255 (2004).

^b S. Koneshan, J. C. Rasaiah, R. M. Lynden-Bell, and S. H. Lee, J. Phys. Chem. B **102**, 4193 (1998).

^c M. Pouvreau, J. A. Greathouse, R. T. Cygan, and A. G. Kalinichev, J. Phys. Chem. C **123**, 11628 (2019).

^d H. Berendsen, J. P. M. Postma, W. van Gunsteren, and J. Hermans, *Interaction models for water in relation to protein hydration*. In *Intermolecular Forces*, (Pullman, B., Ed.; D. Reidel: Amsterdam, 1981) pp. 331.

^e W. L. Jorgensen, J. Chandrasekhar, J. D. Madura, R. W. Impey, and M. L. Klein, J. Chem. Phys. **79**, 926 (1983).

Table SI2. Volume, Temperature and Total Configurational Energy (Eng-conf) average values (Av) and the standard deviation (σ) for the simulations.

Systems	Temperature (K)		Volume (Å ³)		Eng-conf (kcal/mol)	
	Av	σ	Av	σ	Av	σ
CLAYFF-water SPC	300.28	2.75	123687.60	200.92	-779591.20	71.40
CLAYFF-water TIP3P	300,05	2,77	123145,33	184,56	-779669.72	69.31